WASHINGTON STAR 4 1966 JUN

"Phenomenal' Pretrial File In Dodd Case Still Growing

The pre-trial legal file in Sen. wanted the case to proceed turning it over to Pearson and Thomas J. Dodd's \$2 million quickly, "but not at the expense Anderson. the filing of two new documents.

Attorneys for columnists Drew Pearson and Jack Anderrequest that a single judge be assigned to the case.

Dodd asked for assignment of single judge last week when he scaled down his suit from 14 to four complaints and reduced the damages requested from \$5 million to \$2 million.

See No Emergency

said that such requests are granted only when "complicated issues" are involved or when shown neither to exist.

feel that his case is entitled to case may not be probed in (prepriority over all cases pending trial) discovery proceedings," on the crowded criminal and Dodd's lawyers said. civil docket in the District of civil docket in the District of Dodd's lawyers yesterday Columbia, yet plaintiff himself continued taking a pre-traial has already caused substantial deposition from James P. Boyd, delay . . . by his failure to comply with the rules of the court," the columnists' lawyers employes whom the senator said 🕕

libel suit against two newspaper of full development and disclocolumnists, described by an attorney last week as having grown to "phenomenal size," which the defendants have conflict between opposing has again increased in size with written regarding this public lawyers appeared to be in the official."

Dodd's attorneys late Thursday filed a new reply to the son, defendants in the case, earlier request that they proyesterday went into U.S. District duce thousands of documents Court here to contest Dodd's when the senator appears for a pre-trial deposition.

Reiterate Claim

The lawyers reiterated their earlier claim that the request for 49 categories of documents was broad, vague and burdensome. They refused the request for 32 categories and said they would be willing to produce only But the columnists' attorneys those pretinent to their amended suit.

What the columnists "might learn from documents disclosed the case is of an "emergency to them after the commence-character." They said Dodd had ment of a (legal) action is not relevant. In a libel suit, matters "Plaintiff (Dodd) seems to irrelevant to the issues in the

aid. said were involved in removing The attorneys said they material from his files and

Dodd is scheduled to be making over when he would be called.

The Senate Ethics Committee, investigating the columnists' allegations of illegal and unethical conduct on Dodd's part, has scheduled hearings to open later this month. Artificia